

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,576	07/19/2006	Torbjorn Sjodin	1507-1035	5103	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 09/25/200 IOMPSON	7	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			LEWIN, ALLANA		
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/566,576	SJODIN, TORBJOF	RN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allana Lewin	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			lress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this cor ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/6/2	<u> 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
, ,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	l .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	 □	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nal Patent Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other: ____.

Claim Objections

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant is advised that should claims 3-6 be found allowable, claims 9-11 and 14 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 10 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hetrick (US Pat. App. Pub No. 2004/0204300) in view of Trent (US Pat. No. 4,245,839).

Hetrick discloses an exercise device comprising a first strap (420); loops (425a, 425b) attached to the first strap; an anchorage means (410) that is adapted to be fixed to a base and designed to receive the first strap via a loop (415) such that the strap is displaceable in its longitudinal direction relative to the anchorage means; and tubular handles (423a, 423b) mounted on the loops, with the handles being displaceable relative to the loops and the loops having a larger width than an internal diameter of the tubular handles (note Figures 7 and 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,576

Art Unit: 3764

Hetrick fails to disclose one of the loops having a length/circumference in the interval of 110-180 centimeters.

Trent disclose an analogous device and teaches the use of an enlarged loop (16) that is placed around the user's torso and enables the device to resist movement while the user exercises by running in place (column 4, lines 6-11). Trent does not specifically disclose the length or circumference of the loop, however as best understood it would appear that the loop taught by Trent falls within Applicant's range, as the loop must comfortably encircle a user's torso and is also shown showing having sufficient slack and space therebetween (note Figures 1 and 3). Additionally, Trent teaches the loop being adjustable via a block or buckle member (35) thereby enabling the loop to be sized as needed.

Therefore, based on the teachings of Trent, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized at least one loop having a length/circumference in the interval of 110-180 centimeters with the Hetrick device in order to provide a loop sufficiently large enough to encircle the user's waist and thereby allowing the user to utilize the device while running in place as taught by Trent.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Hetrick shows the loops being connected to the strap by buckles (435a, 435b).

Regarding claims 6 and 14-17, as broadly recited and absent further limitation, the loop (415) comprehends Applicant's 'shackle', with the strap running through the loop/shackle and is displaceable relative to it.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,576

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hetrick and Trent as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dunston (US Pat. No. 4,060,240) and Hinds (US Pat. No. 4,779,867).

Hetrick, discussed in detail above, teaches the anchorage means (410) comprising, as best understood, a 'sheet-shaped carrier' (411) with a pocket integrated with the 'sheet-shaped carrier' (note Figure 5B), but fails to disclose a rod shaped element that is adapted to be mounted in the pocket. Examiner notes, though, that the structure taught by Hetrick serves the same purpose and would appear to function equivalently to that disclosed by Applicant. However, the use of anchorage means comprising a 'sheet-shaped carrier' having a pocket integrated therewith and a rod element adapted to be mounted in the pocket is notoriously old and well known in the art as evidenced by Dunston (note Figures 1 and 4) as well as Hinds (note Figure 1). Such a configuration is considered to be functionally equivalent to that taught by Hetrick, and therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill at the time of invention to have substituted such a configuration in the Hetrick device without changing its function, utility or purpose.

Claims 5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hetrick in view of Trent as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Braathen (US Pat. No. 5,133,700).

Hetrick modified supra fails to disclose an anti-slip mat.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,576 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Braathen discloses an analogous device and teaches the use of a mat (1) for the user to stand upon when exercising (note Figure 3), with the mat having an underlying rubber mat portion (1b) which Braathen teaches provides non-slip contact on the underlying floor, thereby comprehending Applicant's 'anti-slip mat'. Braathen teaches that various exercises can be performed with the mat, particularly in combination with an exerciser (column 2, lines 26-31).

Therefore, based on the teachings of Braathen, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized an anti-slip mat with the Hetrick device in order to provide a suitable and safe surface upon which the user can exercise and enable the user to perform various exercises.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,576 Page 6

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allana Lewin whose telephone number is 571-272-5560. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9AM-5:30PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 17th, 2007

FËNN C. MATHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER